



Wrap-up session of my experience at the TIMI study group

Baris Gencer, MD Cardiology Division Geneva University Hospitals April 1, 2021





Acknowledgments



Special Thanks to Professor François Mach and the Geneva University Hospitals







From TIMI 1 to TIMI 70



Fibrinolytic Antithrombotic Antiplatelet Lipid-modifying Anti-diabetes Anti-inflammatory Anti-ischemic Anti-obesity TIMI Risk Score Genetic and biomarker

Publications in 2020



Publications in 2020



Activities of the TIMI Study Group

- Academic Trial Leadership
- Global Trial Management
- Biostatistics
- Clinical Events Committee
- Safety Desk
- Medical Hotline
- Core Lab
- Scientific publications

Scientific Proposal Template

| Lead Author | |
|---------------------|--|
| Working Short | |
| Title of Proposed | |
| Analyses | |
| Background | |
| What question | |
| will this research | |
| answer? | |
| Hypothesis | |
| Trial database(s) | |
| Brief analytic plan | |
| Target scientific | |
| meeting | |

Efficacy and safety of lowering LDL cholesterol in older patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials

Baris Gencer, Nicholas A Marston, KyungAh Im, Christopher P Cannon, Peter Sever, Anthony Keech, Eugene Braunwald, Robert P Giugliano, Marc S Sabatine

Lancet 2020,396:1637-43

Background

- The clinical trials of therapies <u>lowering low-density</u> <u>lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) levels have</u> <u>consistently demonstrated cardiovascular (CV)</u> <u>event reduction.</u>
- However, the clinical benefit from LDL-C lowering therapy in the <u>elderly remains debated</u> because participants aged ≥75 years were not well represented in individual trials.
- Practice guidelines have noted that the <u>level of</u> <u>evidence in the elderly population is low</u> and some have lower strength recommendations for older patients.

2018 US Guidelines – 1st Prevention



J Am Coll Cardiol. 2019 Jun 25;73(24):e285-e350.

2018 US Guidelines – 2nd Prevention



J Am Coll Cardiol. 2019 Jun 25;73(24):e285-e350.



Gencer B et al, Int J Cardiol. 2020 Mar 15;303:8-7

Life Expectancy

| | | Female | s | | Country | | Male | es | | |
|--------|----|--------|----|----|-------------------|----|------|----|----|------|
| 84.9 🗖 | | | | | Japan | | | | | 78.1 |
| 84.6 | | | | | Hong Kong | | | | | 78.4 |
| 83.0 | | | | | Switzerland | | | | | 77.4 |
| 82.9 | | | | | Spain | | | | | 75.6 |
| 82.9 | | | | | France | | | | | 75.5 |
| 82.8 | | | | | Italy | | | | 1 | 76.7 |
| 82.4 | | | | | Australia | | | | | 77.0 |
| 82.2 | | | | | Canada | | | | | 77.1 |
| 82.1 | | | | | Sweden | | | | | 77.6 |
| 81.6 | | | | | Israel | | | | | 77.1 |
| 81.5 | | | | | Norway | | | | 1 | 76.2 |
| 81.5 | | | | | Finland | | | | | 74.6 |
| 81.5 | | | | | Austria | | | | | 75.6 |
| 81.3 | | | | | Germany | | | | | 75.6 |
| 81.1 | | | | | Singapore | | | | 1 | 76.5 |
| 81.1 | | | | | Belgium | | | | | 74.9 |
| 80.9 | | | | | New Zealand | | | | | 76.0 |
| 80.7 | | | | | Netherlands | | | | | 75.8 |
| 80.7 | | | | | Greece | | | | | 75.4 |
| 80.6 | | | | | England and wales | | | | | 76.0 |
| 80.3 | | | | | Ponugai | | | | | 73.5 |
| 80.1 | | | | | Northern Ireland | | | | | 75.2 |
| 80.0 | | | | | Puerto Rico | | | | | 71.0 |
| 79.9 | | | | | Costa Rica | | | | | 75.6 |
| 79.8 | | | | | United States | | | | | 74.4 |
| 79.7 | | | | | Depmork | | | | | 74.7 |
| 79.3 | | | | | Cuba | | | | | 74.7 |
| 78.8 | | | | | Scotland | | | | | 73.3 |
| 78.7 | | | | | Chilo | | | | | 72.7 |
| 78.5 | | | | | Czech Republic | | | | | 72.1 |
| 78.3 | | | | | Poland | | | | | 70.2 |
| 77.7 | | | | | Slovakia | | | | | 69.6 |
| 76.4 | | | | | Hungary | | | | | 68.1 |
| 75.4 | | | | | Bulgaria | | | | | 68.6 |
| 75.0 | | | | | Romania | | | | | 67.7 |
| 72.3 | | | | | Russia | | | | | 59.1 |
| | | 70 | | 50 | | | - | 1 | | |
| 90 | 80 | 70 | 60 | 50 | | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Life expectancy (yrs)

National Center for Health Statistics, Health, United States, 2005.

75-85 yrs

CV morbidity and mortality



J Am Coll Cardiol. 2018 Jan 2;71(1):85-94.

Lack of an association or an inverse association between low-densitylipoprotein cholesterol and mortality in the elderly: a systematic review

Uffe Ravnskov,¹ David M Diamond,² Rokura Hama,³ Tomohito Hamazaki,⁴ Björn Hammarskjöld,⁵ Niamh Hynes,⁶ Malcolm Kendrick,⁷ Peter H Langsjoen,⁸ Aseem Malhotra,⁹ Luca Mascitelli,¹⁰ Kilmer S McCully,¹¹ Yoichi Ogushi,¹² Harumi Okuyama,¹³ Paul J Rosch,¹⁴ Tore Schersten,¹⁵ Sherif Sultan,⁶ Ralf Sundberg¹⁶

Conclusions: High LDL-C is inversely associated with mortality in most people over 60 years. This finding is inconsistent with the cholesterol hypothesis (ie, that cholesterol, particularly LDL-C, is inherently atherogenic). Since elderly people with high LDL-C live as long or longer than those with low LDL-C, our analysis provides reason to question the validity of the cholesterol hypothesis. Moreover, our study provides the rationale for a re-evaluation of guidelines recommending pharmacological reduction of LDL-C in the elderly as a component of cardiovascular disease prevention strategies.

BMJ Open. 2016; 6(6): e010401.

Biology of CV Aging



J Clin Invest. 2018 Apr 2;128(4):1217-1228

Key publications in 2019-2020

Efficacy and safety of statin therapy in older people: a meta-analysis of individual participant data from 28 randomised controlled trials

Cholesterol Treatment Trialists' Collaboration*

The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

A Comparison of Two LDL Cholesterol Targets after Ischemic Stroke

ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

Ezetimibe Lipid-Lowering Trial on Prevention of Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease in 75 or Older (EWTOPIA 75)

JAMA Cardiology | Original Investigation

Effect of Simvastatin-Ezetimibe Compared With Simvastatin Monotherapy After Acute Coronary Syndrome Among Patients 75 Years or Older A Secondary Analysis of a Randomized Clinical Trial

LDL-cholesterol lowering with evolocumab, and outcomes according to age and sex in patients in the FOURIER Trial

Effect of alirocumab on cardiovascular outcomes after acute coronary syndromes according to age: an ODYSSEY OUTCOMES trial analysis

Objectives

These new data give the opportunity:

- To summarize the evidence of lipid-lowering therapies in the elderly population with a meta-analysis.
- To address whether elderly patients should be treated less intensively than younger patients.

Literature Search

- A data search (BG, NM) of all CV outcome trials of LDL-C lowering and published between <u>March</u> <u>2015 1st and August 14th 2020</u> was done on MEDLINE and Embase.
- The literature search was done independently by two co-authors for the screening of the titles, abstracts and full text of papers and risk of bias assessment (BG, NM).

Selection Criteria

- 1) Randomized Controlled Trial
- 2) Cardiovascular outcomes
- 3) Recommended LDL-C lowering drugs (statin, ezetimibe or PCSK9 inhibitors)
- 4) At least a median follow-up of 2 years
- 5) Data in older patients aged ≥75 years old

Research Algorithm

The following algorithm was used :

("Cholesterol"[Mesh] OR "LDL"[tiab] OR "Lipid"[tiab]) AND ("Ezetimibe"[tiab] OR "PCSK9 inhibitors"[tiab] OR "Alirocumab"[tiab] OR "statin" OR "Evolocumab"[tiab] OR "Anticholesteremic Agent"[tiab] OR "Hypolipidemic Agent"[tiab] OR "Non-statin"[tiab]) AND (random*[tw] OR "trial"[tiab]) AND ("Myocardial Infarction"[Mesh] OR "Myocardial Infarction"[tiab] OR "stroke"[Mesh] OR "stroke"[tiab] OR "death"[Mesh] OR "death"[tiab] OR "MACE"[tiab] OR "major adverse cardiovascular events"[tiab] OR "major adverse cardiac events"[tiab]) NOT (Review[ptyp]).

PRISMA Flow Diagram



Data extraction (example)

IMPROVE-IT (JAMA Cardiol. 2019;4(9):846-854).



| HR | Lower 95%Cl HR | Higher 95%CI HR | LDL-C reduction ezetimibe vs. placebo: |
|------|----------------|-----------------|--|
| 0.80 | 0.70 | 0.90 | 0.3525 mmol/L |

=HR^(1/Difference LDL-C mmol/l) =0.80^(1/0.3525)=0.53 Normalization to 1 LDL-C reduction

Baseline Table

| Studies | Number Elderly | 1 st vs. 2 nd Prevention | Experimental Arm | Control Arm | Delta LDL-C mmol/L | Median of follow-up in years | Number Major Vascular Events |
|---------------------------|-------------------|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | | | Stati | in | | | |
| CTTC of 24 Trials | 11,108 | Mixed | Statin or more intensive statin | Placebo or less intensive statin | 1.0 | 4.9 | 1,695 |
| Treat Stroke To Target | 642 | 2nd | Target LDL-C <1.8 mmol/L | Target LDL-C 2.3-2.8 mmol/L | 0.8 | 3.5 | 74 |
| | | | Non-st | atin | | | |
| IMPROVE-IT | 2,798 | 2nd | Ezetimibe+ Simvastatin | Placebo+ Simvastatin | 0.4 | 6.0 | 1,017 |
| EWTOPIA 75 | 3,411 | 1st | Ezetimibe | Usual care | 0.4 | 4.1 | 222 |
| FOURIER | 2,526 | 2nd | Evolocumab | Placebo | 1.3 | 2.2 | 283 |
| ODYSSEY | 1,007 | 2nd | Alirocumab | Placebo | 1.0 | 2.8 | 228 |
| TOTAL of 29 trials | 21,492 | Mixed | | | 0.9 | 3.3 (2.2-4.6) | 3,519 |

Risk of Bias Summary

| | Random Sequence generation (selection bias) | Allocation concealment (selection bias) | Blinding of participants and personal (performance bias) | Blinding of outcome assessment (detection-bias) | Incomplete outcome data addressed (attrition bias) | Selective reporting (reporting bias) |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| СТТС | Low | Low | Low | Low | Low | Low |
| Treat Stroke to Target trial | Low | Low | Moderate | Low | Low | Low |
| IMPROVE-IT | Low | Low | Low | Low | Low | Low |
| EWTOPIA 75 | Low | Low | Moderate | Low | Moderate | Low |
| FOURIER | Low | Low | Low | Low | Low | Low |
| ODYSSEY OUTCOMES | Low | Low | Low | Low | Low | Low |

Effect of 1-mmol/L LDL-C lowering on the risk of major vascular events in the elderly

| Major Vascular Events | Events (%/yr) Experimental Arm | Events (%/yr) Control Arm | Weights (%) | | | RR [95% CI] per 1 mmol/L reduction in LDL-c |
|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|--------------|--------|---|
| <u>Statin</u> | | | | | | |
| СТТС | 802 (4.1) | 893 (4.7) <mark>.</mark> | 96.0 | ⊨∎⊣ | | 0.82 [0.73,0.92] |
| Treat Stroke to Target | 32 (2.9) | 42 (3.6) | 4.0 | ⊢ | | 0.72 [0.41,1.28] |
| Random effects model for Statin (p = 0.0005) | | | | • | | 0.82 [0.73, 0.91] |
| <u>Non-statin</u> | | | | | | |
| IMPROVE-IT | 454 (5.5) | 563 (6.6) | 25.0 | ⊢∎ | | 0.53 [0.36,0.74] |
| EWTOPIA 75 | 89 (1.3) | 133 (1.9) | 15.4 🔫 | | | 0.36 [0.18,0.69] |
| FOURIER | 128 (4.5) | 155 (5.7) | 30.9 | ⊢ ∎-1 | | 0.85 [0.71,1.01] |
| ODYSSEY OUTCOMES | 105 (7.6) | 123 (8.5) | 28.7 | | -1 | 0.88 [0.69,1.13] |
| Random effects model for Non-statin (p = 0.026) | | | | | | 0.67 [0.47, 0.95] |
| Random effects model for All Studies (p = 0.0019) |) | | | - | | 0.74 [0.61, 0.89] |
| | | Risk Ratio | 0.25 | 0.50 1.00 |) 2.00 | |

The interaction between statins and non-statin trials was not significant (P_{interaction}=0.64).

For random effects model for all therapy, Q=11.85, df=5, p_{heterogeneity}=0.0369; ¹²=67.61%.

Effect of 1-mmol/L LDL-C lowering on the risk of major vascular events in the elderly without EWTOPIA

Major Vascular Events



Effect of 1-mmol/L LDL-C lowering on the risk of major vascular events in the elderly vs. the non-elderly



The interaction between the elderly vs. non elderly was not significant (Pinteraction=0.37).

Effect of 1-mmol/L LDL-C lowering on the risk of major vascular events by baseline cardiovascular disease in the elderly

| Major Vascular Events | S Events (%/yr) Experimental Arm | Events (%/yr) Control Arm | Weights (%) | | RR [95% CI] per 1 mmol/L reduction in LDL-c |
|-----------------------------|---|---------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---|
| Elderly patients with estab | blished ASCVD a | t baseline | | | |
| CTTC Secondary prevention | 528 (6.0) | 606 (7.3) | 32.7 | ⊢∎⊣ | 0.74 [0.63,0.87] |
| Treat Stroke to Target | 32 (2.9) | 42 (3.6) | 5.4 | ⊢−−− ∎−−−−↓ | 0.72 [0.41,1.28] |
| IMPROVE-IT | 454 (5.5) | 563 (6.6) | 12.1 | ⊢ | 0.53 [0.36,0.74] |
| FOURIER | 128 (4.5) | 155 (5.7) | 29.6 | ⊢∎→ | 0.85 [0.71,1.01] |
| ODYSSEY OUTCOMES | 105 (7.6) | 123 (8.5) | 20.2 | ⊢ ∎ | 0.88 [0.69,1.13] |
| Random effects model for | Elderly ASCVD | (p = 0.00018) | | • | 0.77 [0.67, 0.88] |
| Elderly patients without es | tablished ASCVI |) at baseline | | | |
| CTTC Primary prevention | 274 (2.6) | 287 (2.7) | 56.1 | ⊢ ∎ | 0.92 [0.77,1.10] |
| EWTOPIA 75 | 89 (1.3) | 133 (1.9) | 43.9 | <-∎ | 0.36 [0.18,0.69] |
| Random effects model for | Elderly Non-AS | CVD (p = 0.29) | | | - 0.61 [0.25, 1.51] |
| | | | Risk Ratio | 0.25 0.50 1.00 | 2.00 |

The interaction between established vs. non established ASCVD was not significant (*P_{interaction}=0.89*).

Effect of 1-mmol/L LDL-C lowering on the risk of individual efficacy endpoints in the elderly



Effect of 1-mmol/L LDL-C lowering on the risk of CV death in the elderly



Risk Ratio

Effect of 1-mmol/L LDL-C lowering on the risk of all-cause death in the elderly



Effect of 1-mmol/L LDL-C lowering on the risk of non-CV death in the elderly



Risk Ratio

Effect of 1-mmol/L LDL-C lowering on the risk of safety endpoints in the elderly



Risk Ratio

Risk of malignancy in 17,708 patients randomized to ezetimibe vs. placebo: IMPROVE-IT trial



Cognition after LDL-C Lowering with Evolocumab N=22,655



*Adjustment for randomization arm and baseline characteristics differences

Cognition after LDL-C Lowering with Evolocumab



*Adjustment for randomization arm and baseline characteristics differences

Publication bias assessment for the primary endpoint of major vascular events



Limitations

- The trials were of different durations.
- The data for the benefit of lipid-lowering on the reduction of CV events for primary prevention in the elderly are sparse, with slightly less than a quarter of the major vascular events in primary prevention patients.
- It is also important to note that elderly patients included in clinical trials might not be representative of everyday practice.

Upcoming Statin Trials in the Elderly

• STAREE (A Clinical Trial of STAtin Therapy for Reducing Events in the Elderly)

- N=18,000 men and women aged 70 or older
- Atorvastatin 40mg daily vs placebo
- Primary outcome: disability-free survival
- Recruiting

• PREVENTABLE (Pragmatic Evaluation of Events and Benefits of Lipidlowering in Older Adults)

- N=20,000 men and women aged 75 and older
- Moderate-intensity statin vs placebo
- 3 outcomes: dementia, disability and CV events
- Funded by the NIH, but not yet commenced

Summary

- In patients 75 years and older, lipid-lowering therapy is as effective in reducing CV events as it is in younger adults.
- Significant reductions were seen for all of the individual components of the composite endpoint, including CV death, myocardial infarction, stroke, and coronary revascularization.
- These results should strengthen guideline recommendations for the use of lipid-lowering therapies in the elderly.

Future Projects

- Design and conduct of clinical trials in cardiovascular sciences in Switzerland.
- International and national multidisciplinary collaborations.
- Promote local projects to improve care of patients.

Lack of Association Between Cholesterol and Coronary Heart Disease Mortality and Morbidity and All-Cause Mortality in Persons Older Than 70 Years

Harlan M. Krumholz, MD; Teresa E. Seeman, PhD; Susan S. Merrill, PhD; Carlos F. Mendes de Leon, PhD; Viola Vaccarino, MD; David I. Silverman, MD; Reiko Tsukahara, MD; Adrian M. Ostfeld, MD; Lisa F. Berkman, PhD

Conclusions.—Our findings do not support the hypothesis that hypercholesterolemia or low HDL-C are important risk factors for all-cause mortality, coronary heart disease mortality, or hospitalization for myocardial infarction or unstable angina in this cohort of persons older than 70 years.

(JAMA. 1994;272:1335-1340)

The Association Between Low-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol and Incident Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease in Older Adults: Results From the National Institutes of Health Pooled Cohorts

Michael G. Nanna, MD, Ann Marie Navar, MD, PhD, Daniel Wojdyla, MSc, and Eric D. Peterson, MD, MPH



CONCLUSION: Among a well-characterized cohort, LDL-C was not associated with CVD risk among adults aged 75 years or older, even in the presence of other risk factors. J Am Geriatr Soc 67:2560-2567, 2019.

Standards for Abstract Submission

≥<u>10 weeks prior</u>: Submit proposal for review & approval.

≥8 weeks prior: Initial request for analyses (including draft table shells) to be sent to statistician leaders.

<u>4-8 weeks prior</u>: Initial analyses performed. Data reviewed by lead author & trial PI during Work-in-Progress. Follow-up analyses performed, as needed.

<u>4 weeks prior</u>: Draft abstract (1 page handout) prepared by lead author, reviewed by trial PI, and ready to be reviewed at upcoming TIMI mtg.

<u>0-4 weeks prior:</u> Review of abstract at TIMI meetings. Additional analyses as needed based on feedback at TIMI mtg. Circulation of abstract to co-authors outside of TIMI and trial sponsor(s). Submit final abstract to stats for final numbers check prior to submission of abstract.

Increased relative risk of MI with higher LDL-C levels in all age groups

Myocardial infarction





Lancet. 2020 Nov 21,396(10263):1644-1652